

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/555,704	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stacy B Chen	1648	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 71

 (1) Stacy B Chen (PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Jacqueline Mahoney (Appl. rep.).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2004
Time: 14:13 EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner and Ms. Mahoney discussed an examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 2.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)